



A priming effect in anaerobic digestion of swine manure

Prof. Qiang Lin

Chengdu Institute of Biology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences



As the development of livestock farming, numerous manure have been generated and released, causing a serious threat and pollution to environment.

> Natural gas crisis in 2022 highlights the global energy issue.

> What is a solution to the two problems above?

Anaerobic digestion





Anaerobic digestion represents an effective treatment for animal manure, fruit waste...

- To address these pollution
- > To recover energy from these pollution

Various microorganisms drive different steps in anaerobic digestion					
Primary and complex feedstock	Hydrolysis	Acidogenesis	Acetogenesis	Methanogenesis	Methane (energy)



Operational parameters (abiotic factors)

- Temperature
- pH
- Substrate characteristics
- Hydraulic retention time
- Organic loading rate

•

Microbial community (biotic factors)

- Microbial activity
- Microbial community composition
- Microbial assembly
- Microbial interactions
- Microbial life strategies
-



Priming effect priorly describes a process in soil that the degradation of other organic materials is

promoted after the input of a labile substrate.

Whether there is a priming effect in anaerobic digestion: whether and how the addition of a substrate

promotes the degradation of the other substrates in anaerobic digestion, by activating microorganisms.



Anaerobic co-digestion of swine manure and straw

To explore microorganism-driven priming effect in anaerobic co-digestion:

- > Add labile substrate such as **apple waste** and **fructose** in the co-digestion, separately.
- > Monitor dynamics of present microorganisms and potentially active microorganisms, by

amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene and 16S rRNA.

> Investigate transcriptional profiles of microbial communities by metatranscriptomic sequencing.



Daily CH₄ production

Compared to without addition of labile feedstocks ($0.9 \pm 0.2 L L^{-1} d^{-1}$)

the addition of apple waste significantly promoted daily CH_4 production to 1.5 ± 0.6 L L⁻¹ d⁻¹, P

= 0.003

the addition of fructose significantly promoted daily CH_4 production to 1.6 ± 0.7 L L⁻¹ d⁻¹, P =

Rowever, need to confirm these promotion is attributed to the promoted digestion of manure



In each feeding cycle, the cumulative CH₄ production is

- In the control: 4.3 ± 0.2 L
- with the addition of apple waste:

detected 6.6 \pm 0.4 L > expected 5.6 L

The expected CH₄ production (L) = (Carbohydrate (g VS) \times + Lipid (g VS) \times + Protein (g VS) \times + Lignin (g VS) \times + VFA (g VS) \times 373) \times 0.001 • With the addition of fructose:

detected 7.0 \pm 0.3 L > expected 5.3 L

$$\begin{aligned} C_x H_y O_z + \left(x \ -\frac{y}{4} - \ \frac{z}{2} \right) H_2 O &\to \left(\frac{x}{2} \ + \ \frac{y}{8} \ - \ \frac{z}{4} \right) C H_4 \ + \ \left(\frac{x}{2} \ -\frac{y}{8} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{z}{4} \right) H_2 O \end{aligned}$$

Expected values are its contribution to methane production by assuming completely digestion of labile substrate. Even though, the detected values are higher than expected, indicating the promoted digestion of manure by adding labile substrate.



Compared to the control, the transformation efficiencies of swine manure to CH₄ were significantly (P <

0.001) promoted by $39 \pm 13\%$ and $65 \pm 14\%$ with the addition of apple waste and fructose, respectively.

Reveal the positive priming effect in anaerobic digestion by adding labile substrate.

What is the microbial mechanisms behind?



The phylogenetic distributions of enriched microorganisms, and enriched metabolic pathways by adding labile substrate



- Deterministic turnover of microbial communities
- ➢ Firmicutes
- Proteobacteria
- Bacteroidetes
- Oxidative phosphorylation
- Methane production











Enriched Firmicutes OTUs

Bioresource Technology 2022, Q. Lin et al



al



Thank you